

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,854	12/20/2001	Seung Kuk Ahn	049128-5030	1440
9629	629 7590 03/21/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			LAO, LUN YI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2677	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of the many be waited wider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or vernit, however, may a reply be timely filled If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Falluse to reply which the set or centered period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARAPHONED (35 U.S. £ 133). Any reply received by the Office least than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed plants that adjustment. Set 3° CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 16 December 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-Z is/are pending in the application. 4 Claim(s) 1-Z is/are pending in the application. 4 Claim(s) 1-Z is/are pending in the application. 5 Claim(s) 1-Z is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 2-Z is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 3-Z is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 6-Z is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filled on 20 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b)			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
LUN-YI LAO 2629	Office Action Summary		10/022,854	AHN, SEUNG KUK				
Prior for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of time may be available under the provisions of 30 FeR 1:3(6). In a event, however, may a repty be timely filled after 50x (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO prior for only is specified whose, the manimum statutus prends will apply and will reptire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO prior for only is specified above, the manimum statutus prends will apply and will reptire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office lister than there amounts after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any restree place the communication. Any reply received by the Office lister than there amounts after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any restreet place the communication. Any reply received by the Office lister than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any restreet place and reply reply received by the Office list of this communication. The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any restreet the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any restreet the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication is only reply received and the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication is communication. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing da			Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled or 18th timely filled for reply is specified for reply will, by failuffe, causes the splitication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply results in the sale of other communication. Failures or reply weithin the sale or extended period for reply will, by failuffe, causes the splitication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply results in the mailing date of this communication, and reply will be provided by the communication. Failures or reply weith in the sale of control of the provided period for reply will, by failure, causes the splitication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply results in the maining date of this communication. Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 16 December 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2001 Is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. A			LUN-YI LAO	2629				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of the many be waited wider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In or vernit, however, may a reply be timely filled If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stability period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Falluse to reply which the set or centered period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARAPHONED (35 U.S. £ 133). Any reply received by the Office least than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed plants that adjustment. Set 3° CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 16 December 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-Z is/are pending in the application. 4 Claim(s) 1-Z is/are pending in the application. 4 Claim(s) 1-Z is/are pending in the application. 5 Claim(s) 1-Z is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 2-Z is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 3-Z is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 6-Z is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filled on 20 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b)	· · ·							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2001 is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Anyr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-Z is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are ejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-893) 2) Notice of Oransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-893) 2) Notice of Oransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Dispositi	on of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to. 							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Applicati	on Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10)⊠ `	The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
a)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/022,854 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eto et al(5,301,031).

As to claims 1 and 3, Eto et al teach an LCD display comprising the steps of: receiving a data enable signal(1, R) for indicating a time interval when a video data exists; detecting an enable initiation time of the data enable signal(1, R); generating a reset signal at the enable initiation time of data signal(1, R); resetting a source shift clock(14 or CKH) for sampling video data(1, R) in response to the reset signal and the reset signal connected to a timing generating circuit(3) for generating a source shift clock signal(CKH)(see figures 1-2; column 3, lines 1-50 and column 4, lines 4-12).

3. Claims 2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eto et al in view of Sekido et al(5,999,158).

Art Unit: 2629

Eto et al fail to disclose a source driving circuit for latching video signal after sampling.

Sikido et al teach a source driving circuit having a latching circuit(4) for latching sampling video signal(see figure 4; column 3, lines 61-68 and column 4, lines 1-4). It would have been obvious to have modified Eto et al with the teaching of Sekido et al, so as to transfer sampling data signal to an LCD display panel(see column 4, lines 2-4).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on December 12, 2005 have been fully considered.
 Applicants argue the 102 rejection based on Onda's reference is persuasive, the
 rejection has been withdrawn.

Applicants argue that Eto et al do not teach a reset signal or resetting of a source shift clock on page 7. The examiner disagrees with that since Eto et al teach a reset signal(STH)(see figures 1-2 and column 2, lines 4-12).

Art Unit: 2629

Applicants argue that Eto et al do not teach a method for detecting enable initiation time of the data enable signal; generating a reset signal connected to a source shift clock source and resetting a source shift clock for sampling the video data on page 8. The examiner disagrees with that since Eto et al teach a method for detecting enable initiation time of the data enable signal(Hsyn); generating a reset signal(STH) connected to a source shift clock source(14 or CKH) and resetting a source shift clock(CKH) for sampling the video data(R)(see figures 1-2; column 3, lines 21-42 and column 4, lines 4-12).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,854 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lun-yi Lao whose telephone number is 571-272-7671. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 9, 2006

Primary Examiner